IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION RECEIVED

2007 MAR - 1 A 9: 20

U.S. DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT ALA

Charles A. Pearson, Plaintiff,

V .

Case No.2:06-CV-828-MEF

K. L. Byrd, et al., Defendants.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Comes now the Plaintiff, pro-se, and moves this Honorable Court for an extension of time to file his response as required by ORDER of this Honorable Court and as reasons for this request shows as follows:

Plaintiff has been transferred from the institution from were he originally filed this action and all his documents have not caught up with him. On transfer from one prison to another it is required that some of an inmates property be mailed home. This is some type of Department of Corrections (DOC) policy styled as "security measures". An inmate does not have any say or possess any power to disagree with DOC

policy. In addition, the inmates who were originally helping Plaintiff are at the other institution he transferred from and Plaintiff needs time to establish help from new inmates unfamiliar with his case.

Plaintiff respectfully asserts that a grant of an additional 30 days to file his response would not prejudice the defendants in this matter and would aid this honorable Court in its decisional process.

Based upon the reasons asserted plaintiff respectfully request that this Honorable Court grant Plaintiff an additional 30 days to file his response.

aspectiulty Submitted,

Charles A. Pearson, Plaintiff, pro-se

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 26 day of February, 2007, served a copy of the foregoing upon the Defendants by placing same in the U.S. Mail, first class, postage prepaid and properly addressed.

Charles A. Pearson #182691 1000 St. Clair Road

Springville, AL.

35146-5582